

ALASKA Appendix

Key ALASKA Subsidy Programs

Program	Recent Annual Cost	Online Recipient Disclosure	Recipient Disclosure Score*
Commercial Fishing Revolving Loan Program - grants disguised as loans for commercial fishermen	\$20.6 million (FY 2013)	None	0/100
Development Finance Program - an expensive program whereby government builds and owns infrastructure for the private sector then leases it back to them	\$35 million (FY 2012)	Source	18/100
Film Industry Tax Credit - a tax credit covering up to 30 percent of film production costs; may be sold or transferred to other corporations	\$40 million (FY 2012)	Source	33/100
Oil and Gas Production Tax Credits - an expensive series of tax credits available to companies engaged in oil exploration and drilling	Not Available	Source	18/100
Average of Disclosure Scores for All Programs			17% (69/400)

*See next page for scoring details

Show Us the Subsidized Jobs: Scoring Details for ALASKA Programs		<i>Maximum possible score for category</i>	Commercial Fishing Revolving Loan Program	Development Finance Program	Film Industry Tax Credit	Oil and Gas Production Tax Credits
<i>Score criteria</i>						
Subsidy Value	BOTH amount approved AND actual amount disbursed/claimed (15) -	15	0	10	10	15
	Either amount approved OR actual amount disbursed/claimed (10) -or-					
	Performance-based with actual amount disbursed/claimed (15)					
Status of Award	Indication or whether award is completed, active, terminated (5)	15	0	0	5	0
	Disclosure of whether enforcement action taken (5)					
	Disclosure of amount clawed back or recalibrated or rescinded (5)					
Jobs Reporting	Number of jobs or training slots promised/projected ONLY (5) -or-	20	0	5	5	0
	Actual number of jobs created/retained or trainings ONLY (15) -or-					
	BOTH promised/projected and actual (20)					
Wages Reporting	Wage rates/payroll promised/projected only (10) -or-	20	0	0	0	0
	Actual wage rates/payroll only (15) -or-					
	Both promised and actual (18) -or-					
	Either promised and actual with wage disaggregation (20)					
Project Information	Location with street address (10)	15	0	0	12	0
	Indication whether the project is a relocation (3)					
	Project documents OR total subsidy package data (2)					
Company Information	DUNS or FEIN (2)	5	0	0	0	0
	NAICS (1)					
	Parent company (2)					
User Features	Ease of access (3)	10	0	3	3	3
	Multiple years of data (3)					
	Downloadable (2)					
	Part of or linked to a wider government transparency site (2)					
<i>Total before penalty</i>		100	0	18	35	18
<i>Penalty points (see reasoning on following page)</i>			0	0	-2	0
TOTAL PROGRAM SCORE			0	18	33	18

*See next page for notes on scoring

Alaska Program Scoring Notes

Commercial Fishing Revolving Loan Program

There is no online recipient disclosure for this program.

Development Finance Program

Disclosure website: <http://www.aidea.org/NewsPublications/Publications/AnnualReports.aspx>

Three points were awarded in the User Features category for including multiple years of data.

Film Industry Tax Credit

Disclosure website: <http://www.film.alaska.gov/reports/index.html>

To find individual project information, click on the qualified projects and approved tax credits tab. The dollar value has been redacted for qualified but not approved projects. Original forms often contain redactions. The PDFs are sometimes difficult to read.

Three points were awarded in the User Features category for including multiple years of data. Five points were awarded in the Status of Award category for providing basic status information.

Two points were subtracted as a penalty because many PDFs were difficult to read as they were blurry scanned documents.

Oil and Gas Production Tax Credits

Disclosure website: <http://dog.dnr.alaska.gov/programs/ExplorationIncentives.htm>

HTML table displays data towards the bottom of the page. Unfortunately, annual tax credit amounts do not appear to be provided. Because the program is performance-based, fifteen points were awarded for providing an amount of tax credits, although the state could do a better job explaining the meaning of that number (amount disbursed vs. amount awarded vs. amount eligible for).

Three points were awarded in the User Features category for including multiple years of data.